

# Syllabus for *Neurolinguistics*

## 2018 Linguistic Society of Korea Winter School\*

Jonathan R. Brennan  
*The University of Michigan*  
jobrenn@umich.edu

### Course Description

This course introduces the neural machinery behind our ability to speak and understand language. In three sessions we discuss: (i) neurolinguistic questions and methods, (ii) the brain bases of speech perception and phonology, and (iii) syntax and semantics. Special attention is given to how theories of linguistic computations and representations can inform, and be informed by, our understanding of the brain.

### Schedule

#### Day 1: Neurolinguistic questions and methods

The first day of the course introduces the *tools* used to study the cognitive neuroscience of language along with the kinds of *linguistic questions* that can be answered with these tools.

Two broad themes are (i) distinguishing questions about neural implementation from questions about processing algorithms and linguistic representations (Chomsky, 1965; Marr, 1982), and (ii) articulating *linking hypotheses* between representations and neural implementations (Embick and Poeppel, 2015; Marantz, 2005).

We then discuss relevant aspects of the brain's structure and organization as well as the underlying principles of the major methodologies used in Cognitive Neuroscience to measure brain anatomy and function, including:

- Aphasias and the deficit/lesion method
- Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI and fMRI)
- Electroencephalography and Event-Related Potentials (EEG and ERP)
- Electrocoitigraphy (ECoG)
- Magnetoencephalography (MEG)

---

\*Last Updated: Jan 9, 2018

## Readings

- Marr (1982) Introduction and Chapter 1
- Selections from Kemmerer (2014)

### **Day 2: The brain bases of speech perception and phonology**

The second day of the course introduces the basic principles of how sound is transduced into a neural code suitable for speech perception, and discusses some theoretical implications from research on the neural bases of phonology.

We first discuss the use of *spatial coding* to neurally represent acoustic information in the auditory periphery and in the primary auditory cortex (e.g. Barton et al., 2012) and the important distinction between *spectral* and *temporal* information for speech perception.

We then turn to theories for how *continuous* acoustic input is converted into *discrete* linguistic representations along two separate processing streams (Hickok and Poeppel, 2007). Drawing on the framework of Poeppel et al. (2008), we further discuss evidence for two distinct *temporal windows of integration* tuned to detect phonetic features and syllabic properties, respectively, and the neural mechanism of a *cortical oscillator* (Giraud and Poeppel, 2012). This discussion draws on both behavioral and neural evidence (Saberri and Perrott, 1999; Saoud et al., 2012; e.g. Morillon et al., 2012).

Lastly, we explore studies that examine evidence for a neural code specific to phonemic, not just acoustic, features (Mesgarani et al., 2014; Scharinger et al., 2011).

## Readings

- Poeppel et al. (2008)
- Scharinger et al. (2011)

### **Day 3: The brain bases of syntax and semantics**

The final day of the course turns to the neural bases of syntactic and semantic composition.

We first attend to the fundamental role of *prediction* in sentence comprehension, which offers a window into understanding event-related potential (ERP) components associated with language, such as the N400 and P600 (Kutas et al., 2014).

We then address the brain bases of *structure-building* operations from carefully constructed experimental designs (Bemis and Pykkänen, 2011), patient data (Dronkers et al., 2004), and naturalistic data (Brennan et al., 2016). We examine evidence that probes the distinction between syntactic and semantic composition (Wilson et al., 2014; Zhang and Pykkänen, 2015), and special attention is paid to

the application of computational models to studying the brain bases of sentence processing (Brennan, 2016).

Finally, the discussion may **optionally** turn either to (i) the brain bases of *dependency-processing*, including the question of domain-specific versus domain-general neural systems for language (Amici et al., 2007; Matchin et al., 2014), or to (ii) the neural bases of *conceptual representations*, drawing on data from multilingual speakers and patients with neurodegenerative disorders (Correia et al., 2013; Patterson et al., 2007).

### Readings

- Kutas et al. (2014)
- Brennan (2016)

### References

- Amici, S., Brambati, S. M., Wilkins, D. P., Ogar, J., Dronkers, N. L., Miller, B. L., and Gorno-Tempini, M. L. (2007). Anatomical correlates of sentence comprehension and verbal working memory in neurodegenerative disease. *J Neurosci*, 27(23), 6282–90.
- Barton, B., Venezia, J. H., Saberi, K., Hickok, G., and Brewer, A. A. (2012). Orthogonal acoustic dimensions define auditory field maps in human cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 109(50), 20738–43.
- Bemis, D. K., and Pykkänen, L. (2011). Simple composition: A magnetoencephalography investigation into the comprehension of minimal linguistic phrases. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(8), 2801–2814.
- Brennan, J. R. (2016). Naturalistic sentence comprehension in the brain. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 10(7), 299–313.
- Brennan, J. R., Stabler, E. P., Van Wagenen, S. E., Luh, W.-M., and Hale, J. T. (2016). Abstract linguistic structure correlates with temporal activity during naturalistic comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 157-158, 81–94.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. MIT press.
- Correia, J., Formisano, E., Valente, G., Hausfeld, L., Jansma, B., and Bonte, M. (2013). Brain-based translation: fMRI decoding of spoken words in bilinguals reveals language-independent semantic representations in anterior temporal lobe. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 34(1), 332.
- Dronkers, N. F., Wilkins, D. P., Van Valin, R. D., Redfern, B. B., and Jaeger, J. J. (2004). Lesion analysis of the brain areas involved in language comprehension: Towards a new functional anatomy of language. *Cognition*, 92(1-2), 145–177.
- Embick, D., and Poeppel, D. (2015). Towards a computational(ist) neurobiology of language: Correlational, integrated, and explanatory neurolinguistics. *Lang Cogn Neurosci*, 30(4), 357–366.

- Giraud, A.-L., and Poeppel, D. (2012). Cortical oscillations and speech processing: Emerging computational principles and operations. *Nat Neurosci*, 15(4), 511–517.
- Hickok, G., and Poeppel, D. (2007). The cortical organization of speech processing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8(5), 393–402.
- Kemmerer, D. (2014). *Cognitive neuroscience of language*. Psychology Press, New York.
- Kutas, M., Federmeier, K. D., and Urbach, T. P. (2014). The “negatives” and “positives” of prediction in language. In M. S. Gazzaniga and G. R. Mangun, editors, *The cognitive neurosciences*. MIT press.
- Marantz, A. (2005). Generative linguistics within the cognitive neuroscience of language. *The Linguistic Review*, 22(2-4), 429–445.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision: A computational investigation into the human representation and processing of visual information*. W. H. Freeman; Company, New York.
- Matchin, W., Sprouse, J., and Hickok, G. (2014). A structural distance effect for backward anaphora in broca’s area: An fMRI study. *Brain Lang*, 138, 1–11.
- Mesgarani, N., Cheung, C., Johnson, K., and Chang, E. F. (2014). Phonetic feature encoding in human superior temporal gyrus. *Science*, 343(6174), 1006–10.
- Morillon, B., Liégeois-Chauvel, C., Arnal, L. H., Bénar, C.-G., and Giraud, A.-L. (2012). Asymmetric function of theta and gamma activity in syllable processing: An intra-cortical study. *Front Psychol*, 3, 248.
- Patterson, K., Nestor, P. J., and Rogers, T. T. (2007). Where do you know what you know? The representation of semantic knowledge in the human brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 8(12), 976–987.
- Poeppel, D., Idsardi, W. J., and Wassenhove, V. van. (2008). Speech perception at the interface of neurobiology and linguistics. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 363(1493), 1071–86.
- Saberi, K., and Perrott, D. R. (1999). Cognitive restoration of reversed speech. *Nature*, 398(6730), 760.
- Saoud, H., Josse, G., Bertasi, E., Truy, E., Chait, M., and Giraud, A.-L. (2012). Brain-speech alignment enhances auditory cortical responses and speech perception. *J Neurosci*, 32(1), 275–281.
- Scharinger, M., Idsardi, W. J., and Poe, S. (2011). A comprehensive three-dimensional cortical map of vowel space. *J Cogn Neurosci*, 23(12), 3972–82.
- Wilson, S. M., DeMarco, A. T., Henry, M. L., Gesierich, B., Babiak, M., Mandelli, M. L., . . . Gorno-Tempini, M. L. (2014). What role does the anterior temporal lobe play in sentence-level processing? Neural correlates of syntactic processing in semantic variant primary progressive aphasia. *J Cogn Neurosci*, 26(5), 970–85.

Zhang, L., and Pylkkänen, L. (2015). The interplay of composition and concept specificity in the left anterior temporal lobe: An meg study. *Neuroimage*, 111, 228–40.